

United States Patent and Trademark Office
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/054,387	01/22/2002	Minzhen Xu	REH-2011	8989		
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER			
Kevin M. Farrell			FREDMAN, JEFF	FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
Kevin M. Farre 18 York Street	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 999			1634	1634		
York Harbor, ME 03911			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		10/054,387		XU ET AL.				
		Examin r		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey Fredmar		1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on	·			•			
2a)☐ This action is	FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the application	,						
			ation					
•	<ul><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li><li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ul>							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	is/are objected to.	,						
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.					
<b>Application Papers</b>		. *						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s)	filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
	not request that any objection to the		-					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
,— ,	ŕ	a haya baan rasa	ived					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
	ted (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/054,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-55, drawn to specific regulators and cells, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.
  - II. Claims 56-60, drawn to methods of antigen display, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
  - III. Claims 61-87, 91-96, drawn to methods of therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
  - IV. Claims 88-90, drawn to methods for isolating peptides, classified in class530, subclass 412.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions in Group I and in Groups II, III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product of Group I can be used in the antigen display methods of Group II, in the therapy methods of Group III or in the isolation methods of Group IV. Further, the nucleic acids can be used in hybridization or amplification assays as well as nucleic acid purification methods.\

Application/Control Number: 10/054,387 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

3. Inventions in Groups II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because each of the groups differs in mode of operation, function and effect. The Group II method operates to form and display a peptide on a cell, while the Group III method is intended to treat cancer and the Group IV method is designed to isolate a peptide, each of these being an unrelated result.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct Restriction Subgroups of the claimed invention. After election of one of the Groups above, Applicant is required to also elect a restriction subgroup. This is not a species election. Applicant will be required to cancel non-elected subject matter upon indication of allowable subject matter.

There are a number of different Restriction subgroups corresponding to the different SEQ ID NO:s, respectively.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed Subgroup corresponding to a specific SEQ ID NO for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

ONLY A SINGLE NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE WILL BE EXAMINED.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,387

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the restriction subgroup that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the Restriction Subgroups are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the Restriction Subgroups to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Kevin Farrell on September 30, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/054,387

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1634 Page 5